

## THE EFFECT OF BANANA WEEVIL DAMAGE ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF BANANAS

D. Ocan<sup>1</sup>, L.F. Turyagyenda<sup>2</sup>, H.H. Mukasa<sup>2</sup>, P.R. Rubaihayo<sup>3</sup>, W. Tinzaara<sup>2</sup> and G. Blomme<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agronomy Department, Gulu University, P.O.Box 166, Gulu, Uganda; <sup>2</sup>Bioversity International, P.O. Box 24384, Kampala, Uganda; <sup>3</sup>Department of Crop Science, Makerere University, P.O.Box 7062, Kampala, Uganda. Author for correspondence: G. Blomme (G. blomme Cogiar.org)

Figure 1:

Adult weevil



#### Introduction

Bananas are of great economic importance in most regions of tropical and subtropical Africa. Their all year-round production ensures a continuous supply of food and income to the farmer, making bananas a major food security crop in the region. However, banana productivity has declined over recent years as a result of declining soil fertility and a high incidence of pests and diseases. The major pests of banana affecting the corm and root system development are the banana weevil (Figure 1), *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and nematodes. The banana weevil has been implicated in the decline and disappearance of highland banana from traditional production zones in East Africa. The weevil lays its eggs at the base of the plant and the larvae which tunnel through the corm as they feed are the most destructive stage of the banana weevil. It was hypothesized that root initiation could be affected by severe weevil infestation. Internal weevil damage (Figure 2) has also been hypothesized to affect nutrient transport and stem growth, while peripheral damage may detach roots or adversely affect root development. It has also been postulated that a weevil infestation could prolong the bunch maturation period and shorten plantation life. This study aimed at investigating the effects of weevil infestation on root, shoot and bunch characteristics of East African *Musa* spp. genotypes.



Figure 2: Cross sectional weevil corm damage in a farm in central Uganda

### **Materials and Methods**

The study was carried out at the Maketere University Agricultural Research Institute Kaharyoli TMU ARIK' in Wakes district. First East African highland bahanis (*Masa* AAA-EA group). *Alphängina , Localingis , Nitteente , Missierine and Kohel*, the desset baharin Sakah Nalizi (AAB) the plantare (*man* AAA) and the beer baharin *Kompa* (AAB) were used. Plantage using in turo-derived plantation was done in a spacing of 4 x 4 ar. Planta in the volume plots were protected against need and remande infestion by a sing Paradari A split plot within a randomized complete block design was need, with three replications volt four plants per genotype. Forty and the weeds (20 nules on 20 females) were released per mat at 18 weeds after planting in the infested plots. Twelve baharin nate per genotype and per treatment were assessed for wered damage at harvest of the plant root. It is block down and the moder plant (PF) days for furtifiling (FF) and intel days to material data was collected bunch fresh weight (BW, kg), days to flowering (DF); days for furt filling (FF) and intel days to material results) that were (LA, m<sup>3</sup>), dry weight of the leaves (LW, kg), height of the moler plant (FF) and the first studer (HS, ma) pseudostem crounforms of the moder plant a out kwell (PC, one) pseudostem circumference of the utilist sucker at set leave (CM, kg) and ones dry weight (FW, kg) and ones dry weight (FW, kg) and ones dry weight (FW, kg) and one dry weight (FW, kg) and one dry weight (FW, kg) and particle (CM, kg) and courd may genter (W, kg) and courd is weight (FW, kg), and the tubes sucker at set leave (KD, em) pseudostem dry weight (FW, kg) and courd is weight (FW, kg), and basel (FC, one) pseudostem circumference of the tubes sucker at set leave (KD, em) pseudostem dry weight (FW, kg) and courd as weight (FW, kg) and basel (FC, one) pseudostem circumference of the tubes sucker at set leave (KD, em) pseudostem dry weight (FW, kg) and courd as weight (FW, kg), and basel (FC, one) pseudostem circumference of the tube

#### **Results and Discussion**

A significant (P < 0.001) effect of genotype was observed on all growth and yield traits (Table 1). The lowest corm damage was recorded for 'Sukali Nditzi' and 'Kayinja', while the East African highland bananas, especially 'Lwadungu' had the highest damage level (Figure 3). The plantain 'Gonja' on the other hand recorded a moderate damage.

There was a strong genotypic effect on weevil damage. Genotypes with a 'B' genome such as 'Sukali Ndiizi' and 'Kayinja' are more tolerant to banana weevils than genotypes with only 'A' genomes.

The effect of weevil corm damage on growth characteristics is presented in Table 2. Time to flowering and days to harvest of 'Lwadungu', 'Mbwazirume' and 'Kibuzi' were significantly (P<0.05) reduced. 'Gonja' was observed to take longer to flower and to produce a ripe bunch in the weevil infested plots.

Weevil damage to the banana corms during the first crop cycle was low, with a mean damage of 1.2 percent. Although the weevil infestation reduced bunch weight for most genotypes no significant effects were observed. The results suggest that several ration cycles may be required in order to have a significant yield loss caused by weevils in newly established fields.

# Table 1. Mean squares and significance for different growth and yield traits of *Musa* spp. genotypes assessed at bunch maturity/harvest of the mother plant.

		Traits#											
Source	df	DF	FF	DM	BW	LW	PH	PW	CW	NR	RL	RW	WD
Replication	11	6,026***	363	4,235**	9.3	0.9	850	28	18.9	132,698	59,007	0.1	1.5
Genotype (Gen.)	7	45,893***	5,390**	48,680***	245.4***	33.6***	4,057***	9,570***	464.7***	1,855,794***	889,721***	11.0***	6.3***
Infestation (Inf.)	1	3,355	143	4,882	9.8	0.5	7,684***	18	0.1	77,226	220	0.5	282.1****
Gen*Inf	7	5,314**	816**	3,686*	25.5	1.1	436	22	1.2	45,561	64,481	1.1	5.8***
Residual	129	249	1517	4.0	12.8	1.6	504	39	5.6	91,454	758,626	0.3	0.9

#: DF: days to flowering, FF: days of fruit filling, DM: days to maturity/harvest, BW: bunch fresh weight (kg), LW: dry weight of leaves of the mat (kg), PH: height of the mather plant (cm), PW: pseudostem dry weight of the mat (kg), CW: corns dry weight of the mat (kg), NR: number of cord roots of the mat, RL: cord root length of the mat (kW: root dry weight (kg) and PD: seevil corn damage (%), e\*\*\*\*\*\* similificant at P<0.01 and 0.001, respectively

fable 2. Growth pa	arameters and	percentage differen	ice between non	i-infested (NI) and	1 weevil infested	<ol><li>plants for eig</li></ol>	ght <i>Musa</i> spp
enotypes assessed	at bunch mat	urity/harvest of the	mother plant.				

	M	pologoma		Lwadungu			Nakitembe			Mbwazirume		
Traits	NI	I	%	NI	Ι	%	NI	I	%	NI	Ι	%
DF	333±12	341	2	450±11	380	-16***	375±20	378	1	413±16	339	-18**
FF	131±9.4	114	-13*	110±5.5	120	9	119±8.3	128	8	111±7.4	130	17
DM	464±11	455	-2	560±11	500	-12**	494±15	506	2	524±3.4	469	-10*
BW	$17.8 \pm 2.4$	18.3	3	13.9±0.7	13.6	-2	14±1.7	12.3	-12	12.7±0.82	12.6	-1
LW	3.1±0.2	3.4	8	3.6±0.7	4	11	3.2±0.2	2.8	-15	3.6±0.4	3.1	-14
PH	270±12	262	-3	320±12	287*	-10	288±8.3	269	-7	314±9.2	291	-7
PC	66±7.5	66	0	53±7.5	62	17	70±1.8	65	-7	61±1.2	58.0	-3
PW	7.2±0.7	7.4	3	9.94±1.9	8.8	-12	7.6±1.4	6.7	-12	14±1.2	15	6
CW	3.16±0.32	2.85	-10	4.3±0.4	3.8	-12	4.32±0.43	4.0	-7	2.6±0.34	2.6	0
RN	1,252±119	1,299	4	1,854±131	1,631	-12	1,275±90	1,279	0	1,863±117	1,720	-8
CS	70±2.6	75	7	82.4±2.6	78.4	-5	77±2.14	73	-5	72±5.5	70	-2
RL	205±29	189	-8	283±36	291	3	246±18	260	6	287±29	274	-5
RW	$1.4\pm0.24$	1.5	8	2.1±0.2	2.0	-5	1.4±0.2	1.5	3	1.4±0.22	1.5	4
	Kibuzi			Sukali Ndiizi			Gonja			Kayinja		
Traits	NI	I	%	NI	Ι	%	NI	I	%	NI	Ι	%
DF	429±4	406	-6***	327±12	326	-0.3	451±8	514	$14^{**}$	383±19	386	1
FF	106±3.3	117	11*	158±8	139	-12*	148±3	138	-7*	160±3	149	-7
DM	535±4	523	-2	485±14	464	-5	599±10	652	9***	543±16	535	-2
BW	12.7±0.7	11.2	-12	6.4±0.6	6.03	-6	15.5±0.9	13.9	-11	$14.8 \pm 1.0$	13	-12
LW	2.3±0.5	2.5	-9	5.8±0.7	5.0	-14	2.1±0.4	1.8	-14	5.3±0.5	4.9	-8
PH	313±9.2	290	-7	271±14.8	266	-2	299±8	294	0	308±10	291	-6
PC	60.5±1.2	58	-4	62.7±1.7	62.9	-1	71±3.8	69	-3	71±1.4	68	-5
PW	$14\pm1.8$	15	10	14±1.9	13	-10	$5.05 \pm 0.28$	4.49	-11	69.3±5.2	65.7	-5
CW	7.5±0.43	9.9	-6	8.9±0.7	8.4	-5	2.06±0.34	1.89	-8	14.7±0.96	14.7	0
RN	$1,863\pm90$	1,835	-2	1,757±169	1,723	- 2	1,337±133	1,300	-3	2,003±66	2,015	1
CS	71.7±2.7	70	-2	77±3.8	71	-8	65±3.1	70	7	92±2.5	86	-7
RL	330±54	379	2	308±31	275	- 11	308±13	275	-12	328±20	289	-12
RW	1.8±0.15	2.0	11	3.5±0.2	3.5	1	$1.0\pm0.08$	0.9	-10	3.0±0.3	2.7	-10



%: percentage difference between infested and non-infested plots;



Conclusions

Genotypes with a 'B' genome such as 'Sukali Ndiizi' and 'Kayinja' are less affected by banana weevils compared to the genotypes with only 'A' genomes. Several ratoon cycles are required in order to have a substantial yield loss caused by weevils in newly established fields.

© Bioversity International, P. O. Box 24384 Kampala, Uganda. Tel:+256 414 286213 , Fax +256 414 286949

Figure 3. Weevil corm damage levels (%) according to genotype.